

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,316	07/09/2003		likka J. Havukkala	11000.1046u1c1	8222
75	590	11/23/2005		EXAMINER	
Janet Sleath				MARTINELL, JAMES	
SPECKMAN L	AW GRO	OUP			
Suite 100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1501 Western Avenue				1634	
Seattle, WA 98101				DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Cumment	10/617,316 HAVUKKALA ET AL.		AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	James Martinell	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ex parto quayro, 1000 O.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	ı .							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	OFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p	3 (. , (. ,						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stage					
application from the International Burea			145					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. ,,	t received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intendeur	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application (P1	O-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·						

Application/Control Number: 10/617,316

Art Unit: 1634

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, 11, 14, 19, and 20, drawn to polynucleotides, host cells, oligonucleotides, nucleic acid molecular hybridization assays, and kits, classified in class 536, subclasses 23.1 and 23.5, and class 435, subclasses 325 and 6.
- II. Claims 8-10, 12, and 13 drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 15 and 18, drawn to methods of treatment using polypeptides, classified in class514, subclass 12.
- IV. Claims 16, 17, and 21, drawn to methods of treatment using nucleic acids, methods of gene therapy, and transgenic organisms, classified in class 514, subclass 44 and class 800, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons. The polynucleotides, host cells, and oligonucleotides of Group I are materially different from, and are therefore independent and distinct from, the polypeptides of Group II and the transgenic organisms of Group III. The methods of Group I may be practiced independently of the methods of Groups III and IV. The polynucleotides and oligonucleotides of Group I have uses other than the methods of Group IV (*e.g.*, in affinity chromatography) and are not needed to practice the methods of Group III. The polypeptides of Group II have uses other than in the methods of Group III (*e.g.*, in affinity chromatography) and are not needed to practice the methods of Group IV. The methods of Group III may be practiced independently of the methods of Group IV.

Claims 1-7, 11, 14, 16, 17, and 19-21 are drawn to nucleotides, nucleotide constructs, and/or methods requiring the use of nucleotides or nucleotide constructs that contain more than one individual, independent, and distinct nucleotide sequence in alternative form. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 as outlined in 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996). This notice

Application/Control Number: 10/617,316

Art Unit: 1634

permits the examination of from one to ten independent and distinct nucleotide sequences in a single application based upon USPTO resources.

Applicant is required to select no more than ONE of the individual sequences for examination. The search of the no more than ONE selected sequence may include the complement of the selected sequence and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequence (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers). Applicants should note that reverse sequences are independent and distinct from the sequences of which they are the reverse. In addition, any "reverse sequences" must e presented such that they comply with the Sequence Rules (i.e., 37 CFR §§ 1.821-1.825). A reverse sequence thus, must be presented n 5' to 3', left-to-right orientation in order to comply with the Sequence Rules. See also MPEP 2422-2434.

Claims 8-10, 12, 13, 15, and 18 are drawn to a plurality of polypeptides or mention or require the use of a plurality of polypeptides. Should applicant elect a Group that claims or mentions more than one polypeptide sequence, applicant is further required to elect one polypeptide sequence within the elected Group for examination on the merits.

To search any two groups as outlined above would create an undue burden for the U.S. PTO because the searches of the non-patent literature are not only non-overlapping to any appreciable extent, but are also divergent in nature.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

Application/Control Number: 10/617,316

Art Unit: 1634

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Reminder Regarding In re Ochiai and In re Brouwer

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner bee the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0719. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (571) 273-0719. Only documents such as those intended for use in a personal or telephone interview should be faxed to the examiner's desktop workstation. Any Official Communication to the USPTO should be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail.

Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-mailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745.

Art Unit: 1634

OFFICIAL FAX NUMBER

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any Official Communication to the USPTO should be faxed to this number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

James Martinell, Ph.D Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

11/18/05